

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,463	06/26/2003		Benjamin Thomas Percer	200208051-1	3495
22879	7590	06/17/2005		EXAM	INER
		ARD COMPANY	BHAT, ADITYA S		
		04 E. HARMONY R ROPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLL	INS, CO	O 80527-2400	2863		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office A. A. Aliene Communication	10/606,463	PERCER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Aditya S. Bhat	2863					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status .	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ma	arch 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>23,24 and 27</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	· •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	d					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/28/05</u> .	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 2/28/2005 was filed after the mailing date of the non-final office action on 12/13/2004. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 26 is dependent on a cancelled claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Co et al. (USPN 6,351,827).

With regards to claim 23, Co et al. (USPN 6,351,827) teaches a system for voltage margin testing of one or more components of said system, comprising:

a controller internal to said electronic system; (Col.6, lines 13-15) and

a digital voltage adjuster configured to communicate with said controller and to affect generation of one or more test voltages for application to said one or more components in response to commands from the controller; (Col.6, lines 22-26) and

a voltage regulator configured to receive an input voltage and generate a regulated output voltage for application to a power rail, said voltage adjuster being coupled to said regulator for varying said regulated output voltage in response to commands from said controller, (Col.6, lines 19-20) and

a hardware monitor in communication with said regulator and said controller, said hardware monitor configured to measure said output voltage of said regulator and transmit said measured voltage to said controller. (Col.8, lines 59-67)

With regards to claim 24, Co et al. (USPN 6,351,827) teaches the controller is further configured to query said hardware monitor periodically to receive said measured voltage and wherein, said controller is further configured to transmit a feedback command to said adjuster based on said measured voltage to cause the adjuster to vary the output voltage of the regulator from said measured value to a selected test value. (Col.8, lines 59-67)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Winbond article March 8, 2001.

With regards to claim 27, Winbond article teaches system for voltage margin testing of one or more components of an electronic system, comprising:

means for transmitting one or more commands to a means for adjusting a voltage to cause the means for adjusting to affect generation of one or more test voltages at a power rail supplying voltage to said components, the means for transmitting implementing an intelligent Platform Management Interface (IPMI) protocol; (second paragraph) and

means for monitoring said computer system to determine a response to each of said test voltages. (first paragraph)

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 1, 14 and 19:

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 1, 14 and 19 is the inclusion of the method steps of: a Baseboard management controller (BMC) that implements an intelligent platform management interface (IMPI) protocol the BMC controller internal to said electronic system; It is this/these features found in the claim(s), as they are claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes this/these claim(s) allowable over the prior art.

Claims 2-7, and 10-13 are allowed due to their dependency on claim 1.

Claims 16-18 are allowed due to their dependency on claim 1.

Claims 20-22 are allowed due to their dependency on claim 1.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. King (USPN 6,697,952) teaches a margin processor power supply.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2863

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aditya S Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-2270. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aditya S Bhat May 31, 2005

Supervisory Patery Examiner
Technology Center 2800